

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,046	12/16/2004	Michele Grimaldi	Q85297	3632
23373	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			OLSON, LARS A	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3617	

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 - 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/518,046	GRIMALDI, MICH	ELE			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lars A. Olson	3617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILIN	IG DATE OF THIS COMMU FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becor	UNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement					
Applicati	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner					
			n) C objected to by the Evan	ninor			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
		<u> </u>	•	ED 4 434/J)			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
		ie Examiner. Note the attac	Thed Office Action of John Fi	0-132.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Branch States Acknowledgment is made of a claim for formance of the priority documents All b) Some * c) None of: All cortified copies of the priority documents All b) Some * c) None of: All cortified copies of the priority documents All b) Some * c) None of: All b) Some * c) None of: All cortified copies of the priority documents All cortified copies of the priority d	ments have been received. ments have been received priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) 🔲 Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔀 Inforn	e of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-94: nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12162004</u> .		of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

A preliminary amendment was received from the applicant on December 16,
 2004.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then

Application/Control Number: 10/518,046

Art Unit: 3617

narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "A movable platform unit for a boat", and the claim also recites "in particular for launching or hauling out a tender or similar craft", which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-5 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bozzo (US 6,182,598) discloses a folding stair assembly for a boat. Ricci, Jr. (US 5,950,267) discloses a portable gangway with leveling stairs. Lord (US 1,215,827) discloses landing steps with a leveling means.

Art Unit: 3617

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6685.

lo

February 6, 2006

LARS A. OLSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jass Olsen 2/6/06